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1) Introduction

In recent years a number of NWP centres have found significant positive impact from assimilating microwave radiances in all-sky conditions (Geer et al., 2017, Zhu et al., 2016, Migliorini and Candy, 2018). The clear-sky assimilation of AMSU-A at ECMWF has been fine-tuned over the past two decades, but the cloud detection still rejects up to 25% of data from the tropospheric temperature sounding channels. Assimilating the additional cloud- and precipitation-affected data should allow some positive forecast impact. At ECMWF two largely different systems and code paths are used for clear-sky and all-sky assimilation. Many of the differences between the two systems have now been eliminated but matching the performance of the existing clear-sky assimilation of AMSU-A in the all-sky system has proved challenging. This poster highlights remaining differences between the clear- and all-sky systems, compares performance of AMSU-A clear- and all-sky assimilation and suggests potential future enhancements. For more details see Weston et al. (2019).

2) All-sky v clear-sky differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Clear-sky</th>
<th>All-sky</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiative transfer</td>
<td>RRTTOV</td>
<td>RRTTOV-SCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud detection</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation error</td>
<td>Noise, cloud and ice dependent</td>
<td>Noise and cloud dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinning</td>
<td>125km x 125km boxes</td>
<td>Alternate points of a 7,255 reduced Gaussian grid, threshold on distance from grid point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin temperature sink variable</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality control</td>
<td>Basic, fg, dep, VarQC</td>
<td>Additional snow/sea ice screening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following assimilation choices were important to achieve the current performance: thinning all AMSU-A observations from different satellites together rather than separately; additional snow/sea ice screening due to residual biases; matching the bias predictors and initial coefficients; changing the interpolation method from nearest neighbour to bi-linear in all-sky. These changes led to improved first guess fits to observations, especially ATMS, and improved forecast scores, particularly at high latitudes.

3) Results v denial

AMSU-A all-sky and clear-sky result in similar (large) improvements to extra-tropical geopotential height forecasts against an AMSU-A denial.

All-sky fits to wind observations slightly more than clear-sky in the extra-tropics.

All-sky fits to humidity sensitive observations (AMSR2 and ATMS channels 18-22) more than clear-sky in the extra-tropics.

All-sky and clear-sky have similar impacts to temperature sensitive observations (ATMS channels 6-15 and GPRSRO).

4) Possible future enhancements

Larger cloudy first guess departures in tropics (e.g. from convection mislocation). This could be accounted for using an additional total column water vapour predictor in the observation error model.

More observations assimilated at edge of scan in clear-sky. All-sky thinning could be done on a 159 grid and distance threshold relaxed to better match the clear-sky observation distribution.

5) Conclusions

In the extra-tropics, the performance of AMSU-A all-sky assimilation is now comparable to the existing clear-sky assimilation. This has involved bringing many aspects of the all-sky assimilation configuration into line with the clear-sky system e.g. thinning, bias correction, quality control. Some of these aspects had surprisingly large impacts on forecast accuracy.

However, in the tropics, AMSU-A all-sky degrades forecasts when compared to the existing clear-sky assimilation. We have a couple of hypotheses for why this might be the case: larger first guess departures than assigned observation errors in cloudy areas in the tropics and residual biases over arid regions. In addition, the different distribution of observations across scan lines could also be sub-optimal globally.

Future work will aim to address these issues by accounting for additional observation error variation using an additional predictor such as total column water vapour, eliminating the remaining thinning differences and additional quality control over arid areas. With these enhancements we aim to make the all-sky AMSU-A assimilation ready for operational implementation.
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