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Summary

- **GeoSTAR is a microwave sounder intended for GEO**
  - Ground-based prototype under development
  - Space-based version will be developed in time for GOES-S (2014)
  - Configuration suitable for MEO (“MeoSTAR”) is also under study

- **Functionally equivalent to AMSU**
  - Tropospheric T-sounding @ 50 GHz with ≤ 50 km resolution
    - *Stand-alone all-weather temperature soundings*
    - Cloud clearing of IR sounder
  - Tropospheric q-sounding @ 183 GHz with ≤ 25 km resolution
    - *Stand-alone all-weather water vapor/liquid water soundings*
    - *Rain mapping*
    - *Tropospheric wind profiles* (Only feasible from GEO)

- **Using Aperture Synthesis**
  - Also called Synthetic Thinned Array Radiometer (STAR)
  - Also called Synthetic Aperture Microwave Sounder (SAMS)
Why?

- **GEO sounders complement LEO sounders**
  - LEO: Global coverage, but poor temporal resolution; high spatial res. is easy
  - GEO: High temporal resolution and coverage, but only hemispheric non-polar coverage; high spatial res. is difficult
  - Requires equivalent measurement capabilities as now in LEO: IR + MW

- **Enable full sounding capability from GEO**
  - Complement primary IR sounder (HES/GIFTS) with matching MW sounder
    - Until now not feasible due to very large aperture required (~ 4-5 m dia. in GEO)
  - Microwave provides cloud clearing information
    - Requires T-sounding through clouds - to surface under all atmospheric conditions

- **MW sounders measure quantities IR sounders can’t**
  - Precipitation
  - Cloud liquid water
  - Meteorologically “interesting” scenes
    - Full cloud cover
    - Storms & hurricanes
Why No MW/GEO Sounder Already?

**Difficult to build large enough aperture**
- AMSU-equivalence requires 6 meter parabolic dish
  - Difficult to stow and deploy
- High surface fidelity required for adequate beam efficiency
  - Beam efficiency of 95%+ required for sounding
- Mesh or film technology not available at sounding frequencies
  - Must use solid dish
  - Means large volume and mass

**Difficult to achieve adequate spatial coverage**
- Dish antenna must be mechanically scanned
  - Difficult to scan very large dish
- Scanning subreflector is problematic
  - Beam quality/efficiency degrades with scan angle
  - Therefore, scan range is limited

**Difficult to overcome system limitations**
- Mechanical scanning causes platform disturbances
  - Cannot coexist with super-high resolution imagers
- Large platform resources required
  - Mass, power, volume, platform control
- High risk at system level
- Difficult to expand to meet future growing needs
Measurement Requirements

- **Radiometric sensitivity**
  - Must be no worse than AMSU (≤ 1 K)

- **Spatial resolution**
  - At nadir: ≤ 50 km for T; ≤ 25 km for q

- **Spectral coverage**
  - Tropospheric T-sounding: Must use 50-56 GHz
    - Note: Higher frequencies (118 GHz, etc.) cannot penetrate to the surface everywhere (e.g., tropics)
    - Bottom 2 km (PBL) is the most important/difficult part and must be adequately covered
  - Tropospheric q-sounding: Must use 183 GHz (AMSU-B channels)
    - Note: Higher frequencies (325 or 450 GHz) cannot penetrate even moderate atmospheres
  - Convective rain: 183 GHz (AMSU-B channels) method proven
  - “Warm rain”: 89 + 150 GHz (Grody) - maybe 50+150

- **Temporal coverage from GEO**
  - T-sounding: Every hour @ 50 km resolution or better
  - Q-sounding: Every 30 minutes @ 25 km resolution or better
Functionality & Benefits of GeoSTAR

These are the performance goals for GeoSTAR #1 (to be improved by x2 next):

- **All-weather soundings @ 2 km vertical resolution**
  - Full hemisphere @ ≤ 50/30 km every 30-60 min (continuous) - *easily improved*
  - Standalone soundings; also complements any GEO IR sounder

- **Rain**
  - Full hemisphere @ ≤ 30 km every 30 min (continuous) - easily improved
  - Measurements: scattering/absorption from raindrops (stratiform) or ice (convective)
  - Real time tracking: full hemispheric view every 5 minutes

- **Tropospheric wind profiling**
  - Surface to 300 mb; adjustable pressure levels; in & below clouds
  - Primarily horizontal winds vectors (at pressure levels)
  - *Very high temporal resolution possible*
  - Vertical winds may also be feasible - requires some research

- **Rapid-cycle NRT storm tracking**
  - Scattering signal from hurricanes/convection detectable in < 5 minutes
    - Use to estimate location & intensity of convective centers
  - Switch to detect/track mode -> Update every 5 minutes (continuous)
GeoSTAR System Concept

- **Concept**
  - Sparse array employed to synthesize large aperture
  - Cross-correlations -> Fourier transform of Tb field
  - Inverse Fourier transform on ground -> Tb field

- **Array**
  - Optimal Y-configuration: 3 sticks; N elements
  - Each element is one I/Q receiver, 3\(\lambda\) wide (2 cm @ 50 GHz)
  - Example: N = 100 \(\Rightarrow\) Pixel = 0.09° \(\Rightarrow\) 50 km at nadir (nominal)
  - One “Y” per band, interleaved

- **Other subsystems**
  - A/D converter; Radiometric power measurements
  - Cross-correlator - massively parallel multipliers
  - On-board phase calibration
  - Controller: accumulator -> low D/L bandwidth
Aperture Synthesis Is Not New

Very Large Array (VLA) at National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO)
In operation for many years
Others Are Developing STAR for Space

ESA’s Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS)
L-band system under development - Launch in 2007
What GeoSTAR Measures

- **Visibility measurements**
  - Essentially the same as the spatial Fourier transform of the radiometric field
  - Measured at fixed uv-plane sampling points - One point for each pair of receivers
  - Both components (Re, Im) of complex visibilities measured
  - Visibility = Cross-correlation = Digital 1-bit multiplications @ 100 MHz
  - Visibilities are accumulated over calibration cycles —> Low data rate

- **Calibration measurements**
  - Multiple sources and combinations
  - Measured every 20-30 seconds = calibration cycle

- **Interferometric imaging**
  - All visibilities are measured simultaneously - On-board massively parallel process
  - Accumulated on ground over several minutes, to achieve desired NEDT
  - 2-D Fourier transform of 2-D radiometric image is formed - *without scanning*

- **Spectral coverage**
  - Spectral channels are measured one at a time - LO tunes system to each channel
Calibration

- **GeoSTAR is an interferometric system**
  - Therefore, *phase calibration* is most important
  - System is designed to maintain phase stability for tens of seconds to minutes
  - Phase properties are monitored beyond stability period (e.g., every 20 seconds)

- **Multiple calibration methods**
  - Common noise signal distributed to multiple receivers —> complete correlation
  - Random noise source in each receiver —> complete de-correlation
  - Environmental noise sources monitored (e.g., sun’s transit, Earth’s limb)
  - Occasional ground-beacon noise signal transmitted from fixed location
  - Other methods, as used in radio astronomy

- **Absolute radiometric calibration**
  - One conventional Dicke switched receiver measures “zero baseline visibility”
    - Same as Earth disk mean brightness temperature (= Fourier offset)
  - Also: compare with equivalent AMSU observations during over/under-pass
  - The Earth mean brightness is highly stable, changing extremely slowly
GeoSTAR Data Processing

- **On-board measurements**
  - Instantaneous visibilities: high-speed cross-correlations
  - Accumulated visibilities: accumulated over calibration cycles
  - Calibration measurements

- **On-ground image reconstruction**
  - Apply phase calibration: Align calibration-cycle visibility subtotals
  - Accumulate aligned visibilities over longer period —> Calibrated visibility image

- **On-ground image reconstruction**
  - Inverse Fourier transform of visibility image, for each channel
  - Complexities due to non-perfect transfer functions are taken into account

- **On-ground geophysical retrievals**
  - Conventional approach
  - Applied at each radiometric-image grid point
Technology Development

- **MMIC receivers**
  - Required: Small (2 cm wide ‘slices’ @ 50 GHz), low power, low cost
  - Status: Receivers off-the-shelf @ < 100 GHz; Chips available up to 200 GHz

- **Correlator chips**
  - Required: Fast, low power, high density
  - Status: Real chips developed for IIP & GPM; Now 0.5 mW per 1-bit @ 100 MHz

- **Calibration**
  - Required: On-board, on-ground, post-process
  - Status: Will implement & demo GEO/SAMS design in Proto-GeoSTAR

- **System**
  - Required: Accurate image reconstruction (Brightness temps from correlations)
  - Status: Will demonstrate capability with Proto-GeoSTAR

- **Related efforts: Rapidly maturing approach & technology**
  - European L-band SMOS now in Phase B; to be launched ~2007
  - NASA X/K-band aircraft demo (LRR): candidate for GPM constellation
  - NASA technology development efforts (IIP, etc.); various stages of completion
GeoSTAR Prototype Development

- **Objectives**
  - Technology risk reduction
  - Develop system to maturity and test performance
  - Evaluate calibration approach
  - Assess measurement accuracy

- **Small, ground-based**
  - 24 receiving elements - 8 (9) per Y-arm
  - Operating at 50-55 GHz
  - 4 tropospheric AMSU-A channels: 50.3 - 52.8 - 53.71/53.84 - 54.4 GHz
  - Implemented with miniature MMIC receivers
  - Element spacing as for GEO application (3.5 λ)
  - FPGA-based correlator
  - All calibration subsystems implemented

Now undergoing testing at JPL!
Performance so far is excellent
GeoSTAR vs. Real-Aperture Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>GeoSTAR</th>
<th>Real aperture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aperture size</td>
<td>Any size</td>
<td>Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning</td>
<td>No scanning</td>
<td>Mechanical scanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial coverage</td>
<td>Full disk</td>
<td>Problematic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral coverage</td>
<td>One array per band</td>
<td>One antenna/N receivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power consumption</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform disturbance</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology risk</td>
<td>High – now being retired</td>
<td>Moderate to high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YES!**

**NO!**
Accommodation Studies

Array arms folded for launch
Stowed in Delta fairing
Deployed on-orbit
GEO Roadmap

- **Prototype: 2003-2005**
  - Fully functional system now being tested & characterized

- **Further technology development: 2005-2008**
  - Develop 183-GHz compact/lightweight multiple-receiver modules
  - Develop efficient radiometer assembly & testing approach
    - Reduce cost per receiver
    - Migrate correlator design & low-power technology to rad-hard ASICs

- **Space version (PFM): ~2008-2013**
  - Start formulation phase in 2008
  - Ready for launch in 2013 - Launch on GOES-S in 2014

- **Demonstration mission: ~2014-2015**
  - Joint NASA/NOAA mission

- **Transition to operational: ~2015**
  - Part of operational GOES
What Must ITWG Do?

• **Advocacy**
  – The need for GEO MW sounder must continue to be expressed

• **Message to NASA & NOAA**
  – “Do it now!”
    • If this is not started soon, it will not happen in GOES-R era
    • If not for GOES-R, then never!
  – Science debates are confusing to decision makers
    • The message must be unequivocal and clear
    • “MW may not be needed” is heard as “MW is not needed”

• **Usage of data**
  – Must show how MW data will be used
    • Assimilation into regional/mesoscale models - What is the expected impact?
    • Forecast “phase correction” - What is expected forecast bust reduction?
    • Storm tracking & forecasting - How will this be used?
    • Precipitation - How will this enhance GPM/TRMM?
The GeoSTAR Team

Bjorn Lambrigtsen (JPL)  Principal Investigator
William Wilson (JPL)    Task Manager
Alan Tanner (JPL)       System Engineer
Todd Gaier (JPL)        MMIC receivers
Pekka Kangaslahti (JPL) MMIC receivers
Chris Ruf (U. Mich.)   Correlators & electronics
Jeff Piepmeier (GSFC)   Correlator subsystem & testing

Shyam Bajpai (NOAA)    Science advisory board
James Shiue (GSFC)     Science advisory board