

IMPACT STUDIES OF AMVS AND SCATTEROMETER IN THE JMA GLOBAL OPERATIONAL NWP SYSTEM

Koji Yamashita*1, Toshiyuki Ishibashi*2 1: Japan Meteorological Agency

2: Meteorological Research Institute





Outline

- Introduction
- AMV Satellite Status update for NWP use of JMA
- OSEs of AMVs and Scatterometer winds
 - Global Experiments Specification
 - Experimental design
 - Results of OSE
 - Adjoint sensitivity diagnostics in 2010
- Summary



Introduction

- To learn more about the influence of AMVs and scatterometer winds on the different NWP assimilation systems recommended from IWW10
 - Make a presentation from J. Cotton and C. Payan as "Coordinated study of NWP winds impact : Common features and differences"
- To report about JMA's independent impact studies of AMVs and scatterometer winds





AMV Satellite Status update for NWP use of JMA





OSES OF AMVS AND SCATTEROMETER WINDS

Global Experiments Specification

GSM (Hydrostatic Global Spectral Model) Same as routine except horizontal rez. (CNTL)	
Horizontal rez./ Vertical rez.	60 km / 60 level
Тор	0.1 hPa
Inner-loop model rez. for DA	120 km
Assimilation method	4D-Var
Time windows	6 hour
Forecasts	216 hours (only 12UTC)
Used AMVs	MTSAT-1R, GOES and Meteosat IR, VIS and WV (cloudy) AMVs; MODIS Terra and Aqua (IR and WV)
Other satellite data	Clear-sky radiance from MTSAT, Meteosat, GOES, 6 AMSU-As, 5 AMSU-B/MHSs, AMSR-E, TMI, SSMIS; scatterometer winds from ASCAT; Metop and COSMIC GPSRO



Experimental design

 Four experiments were performed in each of two seasons to assess the impact of AMVs.

NOAMV (SM), NOAMV(WN)	CNTL + No all AMVs
NOPLR (WN)	CNTL + No Polar AMVs
NOSCAT (SM)	CNTL + No Scatterometer winds

- Period
 - Atlantic hurricane (northwest Pacific typhoon) season in 2010 from 15 August to 30 September
 - Northern Hemisphere (NH) Winter season in 2010/11 from 1 December to 15 January
- Adjoint sensitivity diagnostics (Langland and Baker 2004) in January (WN) and August (SM) 2010







NW Pacific typhoon season in 2010 (NOAMV vs CNTL)

NW Pacific typhoon season in 2010 (NOSCAT vs CNTL)



Adjoint sensitivity diagnostics in 2010



15-hour forecast error contribution ratio (%). Positive values correspond to a decrease in the dry energy norm of forecast error. (Condition : dry total energy norm and global NWP system with wet process)

 Best reduction of forecast error is also observed from geostationary satellite AMVs (AMV GEO) per one observation.

Summary

- Four experiments were performed in each of two seasons to assess the impact of AMVs.
- Assimilation
 - AMVs of geostationary satellites
 - Positive impacts mainly on the mean wind analysis at the range of 500-100 hPa (reduction of RMSE against sonde observations)
 - Polar AMVs (not shown figures)
 - Positive impacts on the mean wind analysis at the range of 500-100 hPa (reduction of BIAS against sonde observation)
 - Scatterometer winds
 - Slight positive impacts on the mean wind analysis below 850 hPa (improvement of BIAS against sonde observations), especially over the southern hemisphere





Summary

- Forecast
 - Significant positive impact on the forecast skills for AMVs in NW Pacific typhoon season
 - Slight positive impacts on the forecast skills mainly in the southern hemisphere for scatterometer winds
 - Slight improvement in mean TC track forecast errors for AMVs and scatterometer winds
- Adjoint sensitivity diagnostics
 - Best reduction of forecast error by AMVs against one observation in the JMA operational NWP system





Thank you for your attention.

BACK UP SLIDE

NW Pacific typhoon season in 2010 (NOAMV vs CNTL)



NH Winter season in 2010/11 (NOAMV vs CNTL)



NH Winter season in 2010/11 (NOPLR vs CNTL)



Mean Z500 analyzed fields (NOPLR-CNTL) Anl. NOPLR Anl. CNTL Guess NOPLR Guess CNTL

6



NH Winter season in 2010/11 (NOAMV vs CNTL)



Mean Z500 analyzed fields (NOAMV-CNTL) Anl. NOAMVAnl. CNTL Guess NOAMVGuess CNTL

