

IWW10 Plenary Discussion

Collaborative projects

Chaired by: Mary Forsythe and Jaime Daniels



Discussion items

1. Developing a portable AMV processing software

- 2. Further inter-comparison studies
- 3. Simulated imagery studies
- 4. More coordinated efforts to evaluate AMV quality and to develop error estimates
- 5. Share results of AMV adjoint studies and data denial trials



Inter-comparison studies

- Should we run routinely? Every 2 yr to coincide with IWWs?
- Options for next phase
 - Choice of period
 - Set-up
 - Study foci
 - Which centres involved
 - Who to carry out analysis



Simulated imagery studies

- Constrained by realism and resolution of simulated imagery

 need high resolution model (e.g. WRF SEVIRI full-disc,
 other options?)
- Should we pursue?
- Focus of initial phase (possibly 8-9 man months)? Options:
 - Association of errors with cloud type and evolution, including passive tracer assumption
 - Test treatment of layer winds
 - Improve characterisation of spatial and temporal error correlations
- Should we use more often to test AMV derivation development? (as done for GOES-R project)



AMV quality and error estimates

- NWP SAF analysis reports provide good starting point for further studies
 - Are centres willing to collaborate to address specific features?
- Error estimates
 - These would be very useful for NWP
 - Which producers are working on this issue?
 - How best to share plans and results?
 - Ideas for developing:
 - Height error estimates
 - u/v error estimates



- Any thoughts on relative priorities of our proposed projects...
 - 1. Developing a portable AMV processing software
 - 2. Further inter-comparison studies
 - 3. Simulated imagery studies
 - 4. More coordinated efforts to evaluate AMV quality and to develop error estimates
 - 5. Share results of AMV adjoint studies and data denial trials