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The Polar Wind Product Suite

MODIS Polar Winds
- Aqua and Terra separately, bent pipe data source
- Aqua and Terra combined, bent pipe
- Direct broadcast (DB) at
  - McMurdo, Antarctica (Terra and Aqua separately)
  - Tromsø, Norway, antenna on Svalbard (Terra only)
  - Sodankylä, Finland (Terra only)
  - Fairbanks, Alaska (Terra, from UAF, is reliable; Aqua is not)

AVHRR Polar Winds
- Global Area Coverage (GAC) for NOAA-15, -16, -17, -18, -19
- Metop
- HRPT (High Resolution Picture Transmission = direct readout) at
  - Barrow, Alaska, NOAA-16, -17, -18, -19
  - Rothera, Antarctica, NOAA-17, -18, -19
- Historical GAC winds, 1982-2009. Two satellites throughout most of the time series.

Note: Red items are new since IWW9 (April 2008).
10-day MODIS winds case study made available

Real-time Terra MODIS winds

Real-time Aqua MODIS winds

Real-time AVHRR winds

MODIS DB winds at McMurdo

Mixed-satellite MODIS winds

Metop AVHRR winds

MODIS DB winds at Fairbanks

Daily AVHRR winds

ECMWF and NASA DAO demonstrate positive impact

NESDIS MODIS winds on GTS

Terra MODIS winds in ECMWF operational system

MODIS DB winds at Tromsø

DB winds distributed via EUMETCast

AVHRR HRPT winds from Rothera

AVHRR HRPT winds from Barrow
MODIS Winds in NWP

Current Operational Users:

- European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) - since Jan 2003.
- Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD) – MODIS since Nov 2003. DB and AVHRR.
- Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), Arctic only - since May 2004.
- Canadian Meteorological Centre (CMC) – since Sep 2004. DB winds since Mar 2009.
- National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and the Joint Center for Satellite Data Assimilation - since Nov 2005.
- MeteoFrance - since Jun 2006.
- National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Antarctic Mesoscale Model (AMPS) - since Oct 2006.
MODIS Winds: Single Satellite

- Aqua and Terra winds are generated separately
- Data from the NOAA Real-Time System (aka “bent pipe”), composites of two or three 5-min granules.
- 1 km MODIS product (MOD021KM) is remapped to 2 km
- Cloud-track and water vapor winds
- NCEP’s GFS is used as the background
- Pros: Complete polar coverage
- Cons: Some MODIS granules arrive (very) late
AVHRR GAC and Metop Winds

- Six satellites: NOAA-15, -16, -17, -18, -19, and Metop
- 4 km for GAC; 2 km for Metop
- Cloud-track winds
- NCEP’s GFS is used as the background.

- Pros: Complete polar coverage; excellent temporal sampling with all satellites. Good preparation for NPOESS VIIRS.
- Cons: No water vapor clear winds (no clear sky). Lower spatial resolution (GAC) yields fewer vectors. Height assignment uncertainty for thin clouds.
Recent Updates

- The **surface pressure and elevation** are now used. The impact is minimal.

- **Parallax correction** (not in ops). Result for mixed satellite winds: slight improvements in the quality with parallax correction; largest improvements at high levels (above 400mb) and decreasing to no improvement at low (below 700mb) levels.

- MODIS **destriping** code updated at DB sites. Bent pipe wind destriping is pending.

- A couple of bug fixes (e.g., QI).

- **BUFR changes:**
  - The QI with and without the forecast are both available.
  - The originating center code has changed

- **Per-pixel time information is being tested.** (More about this later.)
MODIS Destriping, WV Band
Issue: How to improve coverage

Coverage of multiple polar-orbiting satellites is extensive.

However, combining multiple satellites into a single data stream can be complicated because of parallax and timing. (Courtesy of J. Purdom)
24 hr Wind Coverage, One and Two Satellites

Terra only, one day, all orbits

Terra and Aqua (separately), hourly

(animation) (animation)
Hourly Wind Coverage, Seven Satellites: Hours 12-23

magenta: Terra, cyan: Aqua, yellow: N15, green: N16, red: N17, dark blue: N18, white: Metop

(A potential solution to the coverage issue in talk by L. Garand)
MODIS Winds: Mixed Satellite (Aqua and Terra)


- 1 km MODIS product (MOD021KM) remapped to 2 km

- Cloud-track and water vapor winds

- NCEP’s GFS is used as the background.

- Statistics are nearly identical to single-satellite MODIS winds.

- Pros: Complete polar coverage; lower latency (100 min rather than 200 for a triplet); somewhat lower latitude coverage (poleward of 65° or less).

- Cons: Smaller area of overlap so fewer vectors each pass. Parallax correction and per-pixel times are necessary.
Combining satellites into a single stream

- For a Terra-Aqua-Terra sequence, there is a 30 min pole crossing time difference for the first pair (T-A), and a 70 min difference for the second pair (A-T).

- Terra and Aqua cross the pole from different directions, so the time difference changes as a function of latitude.

- Impact: For the first pair, if a constant time difference of 30 min is used, wind speeds can be off by up to a factor of 2. For the second pair, if we use a 70 min difference, the error is up to 17%.

- The time used affects the number of wind vectors and, to a lesser degree, the overall accuracy. (*More on this in talk by R. Dworak*)
DB Sites

Clockwise from upper left: McMurdo, Rothera, Barrow, Sodankylä, Svalbard, Fairbanks
Direct Readout (Broadcast) Coverage in the Arctic and Antarctic

Station masks for
- Fairbanks, Alaska
- Barrow, Alaska
- Tromsø, Norway
- Sodankylä, Finland

Station masks for
- McMurdo
- Rothera
Direct Broadcast (Readout) MODIS and AVHRR Winds

- Aqua, Terra, AVHRR winds are generated separately
- Data source is direct readout (broadcast)
- 1 km MODIS and AVHRR remapped to 2 km.
- Cloud-track and water vapor (MODIS) winds
- NCEP’s GFS is used as the background.
- Pros: Low latency; high resolution.
- Cons: Incomplete polar coverage.
Histogram plots showing the delay between observation time and receipt time for (above) direct broadcast Terra data and (right) NESDIS Terra (not DB) data for October 2009. (Courtesy of M. Forsythe)
Time series plots showing the root mean square vector difference and number of winds for (top) AVHRR winds and (bottom) MODIS winds from different sites. (Courtesy of M. Forsythe)
Historical AVHRR Polar Winds

- 1982 - 2009
- Generally two satellites at any given time, NOAA-7 through -19
- Global Area Coverage (GAC) data gridded at 5 km.
- Cloud-track winds using IR channel only (no water vapor channel).
- NCEP Reanalysis is background.
- Pros: An essential product for reanalysis projects.
- Cons: Low resolution. Height assignment uncertainty for thin clouds.

*(More on this in talk by D. Santek)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product/Feature</th>
<th>Spatial Coverage</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution</th>
<th>Latency (middle image)</th>
<th>Relative Accuracy</th>
<th>Operational NESDIS Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MODIS, bent pipe, separate satellite</td>
<td>Entire Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td>3-5 hrs</td>
<td>Similar to GOES</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS, bent pipe, combined Terra &amp; Aqua</td>
<td>Entire Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td>2-4 hrs</td>
<td>Similar to GOES (additional tests needed)</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="" /> (mid-2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS DB, single satellite</td>
<td>Portions of Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td>2 hrs</td>
<td>Same as bent pipe winds</td>
<td>Maybe never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVHRR GAC</td>
<td>Entire Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>4 km</td>
<td>3-5 hrs</td>
<td>Good, but not as good as MODIS bent pipe</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metop AVHRR</td>
<td>Entire Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td>3-5 hrs</td>
<td>Same as bent pipe winds</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPT AVHRR</td>
<td>Portions of Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td>2 hrs</td>
<td>Same as bent pipe winds</td>
<td>Maybe never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical AVHRR</td>
<td>Entire Arctic and Antarctic</td>
<td>5 km</td>
<td>N/A (1982-2009)</td>
<td>Good, but not as good as bent pipe</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plans

• Incremental improvements are planned, e.g., per-pixel time stamps.

• Preparations for winds from the VIIRS instrument on NPP and JPSS (formerly NPOESS) satellites have begun.

• Other possibilities:
  • Winds from two images (rather than three) would allow for a broader latitudinal range
  • Orbital pairs (same orbits, separation < 1 hr): NOAA-18 + NOAA-19, Terra + NOAA-17, Aqua + NOAA-18, NPP + Aqua/NOAA-18/NOAA-19, Metop-A + Metop-B

• We would like to hear your thoughts on polar wind products, including current problems and future directions.
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