

IWW10 Charge to working groups

WG1: Methods - chaired by Régis Borde and Steve Wanzong WG2: Data assimilation - chaired by Lars-Peter Riishojgaard and Iliana Genkova



Charge to working groups

- 1.1 Thoughts on IWWG web site
 - a. Format and content
 - b. Suggestions for improvements
 - AMV derivation information from all centres
 - NWP tab
 - Training tab
 - MISR and hyperspectral products pages
 - Earlier IWW proceedings online
 - Review of objectives
 - More resources for collaborative projects e.g. information on how to take part in inter-comparison, links to results etc.
 - Others?
- 1.2 Feedback on IWW10 meeting format, more plenary discussions good or bad?



2.1 Tracking

- a. Nested tracking, optical flow, other options?
- 2.2 Should we average component vectors?
- 2.3 Is it useful to apply image enhancement, use of channel differences?

2.4 Height assignment (CGMS-37 R37.23)

- a. Review how best to handle low level clouds
- b. Discuss approach to isolate pixels to use for height assignment
- c. Validation (e.g. A-train, model/sonde/profiler best-fit)
- d. Minimum residual approaches

2.5 Development of error estimates (CGMS-37 R37.20)

a. Discuss options available

2.6 Development of AMV layer information (CGMS-37 R37.20)

• Options?



2.7 Polar AMVs (CGMS-37 R37.21)

- Can we track reliably over 100 minutes?
- Should we modify height assignment approaches?
- How best to handle multi-satellite polar AMVs in BUFR?
- Thoughts on use of use of image pairs rather than triplets (more coverage, but lose QI info). Use for mixed polar satellites.
- Thoughts on use of IASI to help with height assignment
- Seek IWW10 support for highly-elliptical orbit mission
- 2.8 Mesoscale AMVs (CGMS-37 R37.20, discussed in PD3)
- 2.9 Work towards unifying QI approach



3.1 Treating winds as layers (CGMS-37, R37.20)

- a. Who is investigating?
- b. How best to set the layer width?
- c. Should the layer be centred or offset from assigned pressure?

3.2 Other NWP improvements(CGMS-37, R37.20)

- a. Individual observation errors (e.g. Met Office IWW9)
- b. Allowing for correlated error in assimilation (ECMWF talk)
- c. Other ideas?

3.3 AMV impact in NWP (CGMS-37, R37.20)

- a. Share results of adjoint and/or data denial studies
- b. Further coordinated efforts? Focus on next WMO Impact Workshop 2012 (held every 4 yr)
- c. What verification metrics to use?
- 3.4 More centres contributing to NWP SAF AMV usage pages
- 3.5 Mesoscale AMVs (CGMS-37 R37.20, discussed in PD3)



4.1 Developing a portable AMV processing software (CGMS-37 R37.22)

Discussed under PD1. Will be discussed further separately

4.2 Further inter-comparison studies (CGMS-37 R37.18)

Discussed under PD2, Support every 2yr, identify new season (in future?), possibly add WV and/or additional satellite, Further analysis options identified. ECMWF-EUMETSAT to agree support for analysis work.

4.3 Simulated imagery studies (CGMS-37 R37.23)

Discussed under PD2. Will follow up by email (ECMWF, EUMETSAT, CIMSS, Met Office, others?)

4.4 Improving the process for implementing operational changes (CGMS-37 R37.23)

Discussed under PD4.



NWP SAF AMV monitoring feedback (WG1 and 2)

- 5.1 Feedback on 4th analysis
 - a. Feedback on recent changes (buttons, investigations section)
 - b. Thoughts on development options:
 - Add Hovmoeller plots to monthly monitoring
 - Participation from other NWP centres (Low priority?)
 - Add real-time monitoring
 - Add summaries of AMV events e.g. derivation updates, bad days in monitoring
 - Page providing background to Met Office AMV system capabilities
- 5.2 Ideas for future investigations
 - Best-fit pressure statistics
 - Collaborative follow-up of specific features
 - Any requests?



- 6.1 Thoughts on ongoing developments new map and scatter plots (similar to AMVs), more plots for ERS-2 and WindSat, more regional monitoring
- 6.2 Future design choices
 - a. Are there other types of plots you would like to see?
 - b. Are time-series of mean distance to cone useful given differences in normalisation?
 - c. Should we plot used data, all data, or both?
 - d. Add more plots from other NWP centres?



7.1 Check status of plans to reprocess wind data. Encourage wide participation by producers.

7.2 Check what reanalyses are being run and when

7.3 Seek IWW10 support for scatterometer reprocessing (ERS-1/2, QuikScat and ASCAT).



8.1 Get update on future sources of surface wind data

- Metop
- Oceansat-2
- Impact of NPOESS cancellation on Windsat-type follow-on
- Seek IWW10 support to assist negotiations with SOA/CNSA and Roshydromet/Roscosmos for HY-2A, Meteor-M3
- Others?

8.2 Discuss priorities for post-EPS scatterometer – trade-offs may exist in obtaining more extreme winds (> 30 m/s by adding HH polarisation) or higher resolution (more power in VV polarisation).

- 8.3 Support and suggestions for inter-callibration
- 8.4 Experiences with SAF code, suggestions for improvements?



Charge to working groups

MISR / DWL / hyperspectral (WG1 and 2)

9. MISR

- a. What are the limitations/potential of MISR winds?
- b. What are the key issues to move towards operational use?
- c. Where should we focus future effort?
- d. Should we support constellation of MISR-like instruments?

10. DWL

- a. What are the latest plans for post ADM-Aeolus DWL missions?
- b. Plans to use DWL operationally and for validation....
- 11. Hyperspectral
 - a. Seek IWW10 support to continue effort to track single level derived moisture fields
 - b. Consider advantages/disadvantage and ways to evaluate following options:
 - track single level derived moisture fields
 - track features in radiance space, consider improved CSWV operators
 - assimilate clear sky radiances directly