Use of Earth Observations and Earth System Modeling to Study Anthropogenic Emission Impacts on Atmosphere-Biosphere Interactions

Biosphère, Montréal (photo from web)

Min Huang¹, J. Crawford², G Diskin², J. Santanello², S. Kumar², A. Weinheimer³, R. Stauffer², A. Thompson², J. Park⁴, M. Parrington⁵, G. Carmichael⁶ ¹GMU; ²NASA; ³NCAR; ⁴KMA; ⁵ECMWF; ⁶UI ISWG-3 | Montréal, Canada | 16 July 2019

Review of materials presented at ISWG-2

Haze in Seoul

Credit: S Hall

We work on integrating various satellite land products into regional-scale weather & air quality modeling, including for the polluted East Asia

Weather model: (NU-)WRF



Review of materials presented at ISWG-2



- SMAP SM DA (into Noah LSM) impacts on short-term NUWRF modeling during a pollution transport event: impacts on H₂O and CO correlated; improvements found in places
- chemical IC/BC: high-res ECMWF CAMS w/ chemical DA; emissions highly uncertain

ò

What's new since ISWG-2: Noah→Noah-MP LSM

Primary & secondary aerosols from anthropogenic & natural emission sources alter weather patterns



Figure source: English et al. (2011); Noah-MP tutorial (Barlage 2017)



Noah-MP LSM:

- Separate vegetation canopy
- Dynamic vegetation w/ Ball-Berry stomatal resistance
- Multi-layer snowpack + other improvements from Noah

Ongoing work with Noah-MP in LIS/NUWRF

Evaluating immediate impacts of urban and shipping <u>anthropogenic emission</u>s on GPP and ET



- Offline LIS (Spring 2015-Spring 2018):
- physics settings accounting for Yang et al. (2011) recommendations
- SM/LAI evaluated with SMAP/MODIS

NUWRF runs (31 May 2016, cloudy):

- *Base:* largely based on HTAP2 (bottom-up) emissions
- Sensitivity: replacing NOx emissions with DECSO-OMI (satellite-constrained) in urban & non-terrestrial areas
- Both: Aerosol direct & indirect effects on
- GPP/ET compared with SMAP L4/COMS
- AOD/nitrogen species evaluated

Surface SM from SMAP and offline LIS/Noah-MP





- Model SM dynamic ranges smaller than SMAP
- Late May 2016 relative to climatology: drier in N China Plain (NCP), wetter in S Korea
- Higher r & lower ubRMSE in NCP than in S Korea
 - However, more investigations needed on SMAP quality over S Korea (SMAPVEX19 NE US helpful)

LAI from MODIS and offline LIS/Noah-MP



- Model under/overpredicted regions China's Yellow/Yangtze river basins, similar to Yang et al. (2011) results
- Underprediction over the colder regions reflects a delayed spring outburst of leaves controlled by leaf dying process in model
- Late May 2016 relative to climatology: lower LAI in NCP, higher in Korea, model did not quite capture this anomaly in Korea (energylimited?)

HTAP2 (bottom-up) and DECSO-OMI NOx emissions





- •DECSO-OMI higher over broad oceanic areas (due to shipping) and multiple S Korea cities, lower in many Chinese cities
- •CCI land cover used to separate urban & shipping emissions for use in the NUWRF emission sensitivity run
- After merging HTAP2 and DECSO, total anthropogenic emissions reduced by ~10%, urban+shipping >60 % of the total

emissions: in kg/m²/s

NUWRF NOy changes due to NOx emission adjustments



NUWRF AOD changes due to NOx emission adjustments





- •Cloudy, polluted situation in China With emission adjustments:
- •AOD slightly decreased over land overall and over S Korea domain
- •AOD improvements around Seoul and Busan in S Korea

NUWRF radiation changes (9-16 KST)



•APAR and diffuse light changes stronger changes over cloudy and precipitating regions •More cloudy regions: diffuse fraction high, reducing AOD increased diffuse light •Less cloudy regions: diffuse fraction low, reducing AOD decreased diffuse light

NUWRF temperature changes (9-16 KST)



Canopy T above and below 298 °K (optimal photosynthesis) in China and S Korea, respectively
T increased, with stronger changes over cloudy and precipitating regions
T2, which is ~2 °K different from canopy T, slightly better modeled in the sensitivity run 12

NUWRF GPP and ET changes (9-16 KST)



low T (S Korea, N Beijing): positive ΔGPP and promoted photosynthesis
 high T (S Heibei Province): positive and negative ΔGPP (combined light and T effects)
 consistent spatial patterns ΔGPP and ΔET (strongly linked with stomatal resistance)

NUWRF GPP and ET (daily), comparing with other products



- Smaller than in daytime: observational or observation-constrained subdaily GPP/ET needed
- Further evaluation needed (FLUXNET data not available for this period)

Summary & Next steps

Based on LIS/NUWRF w/ Noah-MP dynamic vegetation: •Regional emissions important to assessing atmosphere-biosphere interactions •GPP-AOD relationship on a cloudy day -2% per unit AOD

(Huang et al., 2019, in revision)

Next steps:

- Urban/shipping anthropogenic emissions → individual anthropogenic and natural emission source sectors
- Adjusting NOx → other chemical species: multi-species chemical DA; utilization of newer sensors (TROPOMI and later TEMPO/GEMS)
- Constraining emissions jointly w/ land DA (SM, vegetation, snow, etc)
- Expanded regions (including North America), extended periods (including recent/planned field campaigns), under variable weather conditions

Acknowledgements to various science teams & your attention

