

Indirect aerosol forcing estimates over southeast and northeast Atlantic marine stratiform clouds

Seethala Chellappan

Senior Research Scientist at Finnish Meteorological Institute

M Pitkänen, A Lipponen, Á Horváth, S Polade, H Jethva, R Bennartz, S Romakkaniemi, A Arola

ICWG-2 Wisconsin-Madison 31 October 2018

Aerosol Indirect Forcing

Cloud albedo and lifetime effect (negative radiative effect for warm clouds at TOA; less precipitation and less solar radiation at the surface)



Satellite estimates of indirect aerosol forcing are still uncertain – for stratocumulus cloud regime

- 1. Likely, due to the uncertainties in the strength of slopes of N_d versus AOD and AI
- 2. Due to not accounting co-variations in large-scale meteorology 5.2.2019

Objectives

At a higher resolution of 25kmx25km

- 1. To evaluate the strength of slopes of N_d versus AI and cloud properties
- 1. To study the dependency of these slopes on large-scale meteorology
- 2. To estimate Aerosol indirect forcing Intrinsic (cloud albedo effect) and extrinsic (cloud lifetime effect) terms



- MODIS Aqua C6.1 L2 cloud and aerosol products & OMI-ACA retrieval
- AMSR-2 V8 LWP, SST, and rain rate
- CERES Aqua TOA radiation measurements
- MODIS and CERES data are collocated onto 25km AMSR-2 grid within the time difference of 15 minutes
- MERRA-2 meteorology and aerosol analysis data
- Study period 06/2015 05/2018
- Single layer liquid clouds with ice-free pixels, CTT>273K and ω 700> 0
- Cloud droplet #concentration (N_d) is computed following Bennartz and Rausch (2017) using r_e at 3.7 μm and Quaas et al. (2008) using r_e at 2.1 μm.
- Anthropogenic fraction calculated following Bellouin et al. (2013)
- Aerosol indirect forcing is computed following Chen et al. 2014
- Analyzed 25km grid-boxes with LCF>10% and rain rate=0.

Cloud and Aerosol Properties – June - Nov 2015-2018



- Southeast Atlantic Sc Smoke plume
- Northeast Atlantic Sc Desert dust

$Southeast \ Atlantic \ Stratocumulus \ (smoke) \\ OLS \ slopes \ of \ ln(N_d) \ versus \ Cloud \ and \ Aerosol \ parameters$



- LWP, CER Negative slope
- COT, cl-albedo, LCF Positive slope
- AOD, AI Positive slope

5.2.2019

OLS slopes



Bayesian & Orthogonal Distance Regression (ODR) slopes



Large-scale meteorology and surface fluxes – Jun - Nov 2015-2018





- Cloud amount increase Cooler SST, increased SLP, SWS, LHF, cooler SST-adv, stronger EIS and w700, drier and warm FT (RH700, T700)
- LWP increase moist FT RH700

Slopes of $ln(N_d)$ vs. cloud and aerosol parameters Dependency on AMSR-2 LWP and MODIS LCF







• The relationships are strongest at overcast condition, and increased with increasing LWP

Slopes of ln(N_d) vs. cloud and aerosol parameters Dependency on CTH and cloud depth



• The negative LWP and CER slopes are steepest when the clouds are thicker and below 1km.

10

- Similarly, positive LCF, COT, cl-albedo slopes are steepest.
- AQD₀slopes are steeper either when the clouds are thicker or at higher top height.

Slopes of $ln(N_d)$ vs. cloud and aerosol parameters Dependency on RH700 and $\omega700$



- N_d versus AOD slopes are steeper for stronger subsidence regime, especially at warmer SST and moist Free-troposphere.
- Similar results obtained for cloud properties aswell, except that N_d versus CER and LCF slopes are steepest at cooler SST.



Intrinsic Forcing or cloud albedo effect is estimated as

$$\Delta a.\overline{C}_{m}.\left(\frac{dA_{clr}}{d\ln(AI)}-\frac{dA_{cld}}{d\ln(AI)}\right).\overline{F}^{\downarrow}$$

Extrinsic Forcing or cloud lifetime effect is estimated as

$$\Delta a. \overline{(A_{clr} - A_{cld})}. \frac{dC_f}{d\ln(AI)}. \overline{F}^{\downarrow}$$

A is albedo F^* is incoming shortwave radiation C_f is cloud fraction

as in Chen et al. 2014

12

MERRA-2 Aerosol classifications following Bellouin et al. 2013



Jun – Nov (2015-2018)

Anthropogenic - 31%Marine- 53%Dust- 16%



Forcing estimates (Jun – Nov 2015-2018)



Episodic Smoke and Dust regime → Large positive forcing estimates Less polluted Sc regime → Large negative forcing estimates



Summary

- N_d versus AI slopes are steeper at a higher resolution satellite measurements.
- Also, advanced fitting methods that consider uncertainty in both X and Y axis are recommended over OLS fitting to compute slope
- Compute aerosol indirect forcing based on slopes from advanced regression methods
- Include the effect of meteorology into the forcing computation

Slopes of $ln(N_d)$ versus Cloud and Aerosol Products – 2015-2018









Southeast Atlantic Stratocumulus (smoke) OLS, Bayesian, Orthogonal Distance Regression ODR slopes of ln(N_d) with cloud and aerosol parameters



19

Northeast Atlantic Stratocumulus (dust) OLS, Bayesian, ODR slopes of ln(N_d) with cloud and aerosol parameters



Northeast Atlantic Stratocumulus (less dust) OLS, Bayesian, ODR slopes of $ln(N_d)$ with cloud and aerosol parameters



5.2.2019

Dependency on EIS and SST advection Slopes of Ln(Nd) vs. cloud and aerosol parameters



• The negative LWP and CER slopes are steepest when the clouds are thicker and below 1km.

- Similarly, positive LCF, COT, cl-albedo slopes are steepest.
- AOD slopes does not show any dependency on SST-advection or EIS.

MERRA-2 Aerosol classifications following Bellouin et al. 2013



Forcing estimates (Dec – May 2015-2018)



SEA Sc regime → Large negative forcing estimates with means of about -2.45 W/m² and -2.97 W/m² respectively for cloud albedo and cloud lifetime effect.

NEA dust domain indicates a large positive forcing