# Thick Ice Cloud Diurnal Cycle: A Synergetic Approach of Active and Passive MW Sensors

#### Jie Gong<sup>1,2</sup>, Dong L. Wu<sup>2</sup>, Chenxi Wang<sup>3, 2</sup>, Xiping Zeng<sup>4</sup>

- 1. Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD
  - 2. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, MD
  - 3. ESSIC, Univ. of Maryland at College Park
    - 4. US Army Research Lab, MD

With special thanks to Frank Evans, Ben Johnson, Stephen Munchak, Ian Adams, Patrick Ericksson and the GPM team in general.

Acknowledgement to ROSES NNH16ZDA001N-CCST, NNH16ZDA001N-ACMAP and NNH16ZDA001N-IIP.

# Why important to have <u>consistent</u> ice water path (IWP) obs.

✤TIWP = CIWP + PIWP

total Ice Floating snow cloud (precipitating ice)

 GCMs do not have PIWP – radiative effect ~ 5-15 W/m<sup>2</sup>
@ TOA (Li et al., 2013)

Mean CIWP by 1 - 2 folds across CMIP5 models

♦ Observational constraints are required.



# Why important to have <u>consistent</u> ice water path (IWP) obs.

#### ✤TIWP = CIWP + PIWP

totalIceFloating snowcloud(precipitating ice)VIS/IRMW

 GCMs do not have PIWP – radiative effect ~ 5-15 W/m<sup>2</sup>
@ TOA (Li et al., 2013)

Mean CIWP by 1 - 2 folds across CMIP5 models

Observational constraints are required.





# Why important to have MW ice cloud diurnal cycle?

- > VIS/IR ice clouds are the "old" clouds that hang out there;
- > MW ice cloud is the origin of VIS/IR ice cloud;
- Large variability of microphysics ice cloud properties retrieved near the cloud top is not representative of the whole column.
- > MW ice cloud also likely precipitates, which means it plays a key role in the hydrological cycle.

ICWG-2, 2018

#### ICWG-2, 2018 Why important to have MW ice cloud diurnal cycle? (a) Tropical Ocean (b) Tropical Land Surface Precip Precip 0 3 12 15 18 21 24 0 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 6 9 Local Time [hr] Local Time [hr] Link Is Missing! Decay (f) IR - Anvil/Cirrus Satellites 2.5x2.0 Tropical Ocean July 1999 Satellites 2.5x2.0 Tropical Land July 1999 210 210 215 215 220 -220 225 225 Ò RADAR £ 230 (¥) 230 日 235 日 240 Passive MW TB11 235 0.09 Near IR+VIS 240 ю $\dot{o}$



# Why important to have MW ice cloud diurnal cycle?

#### (a) Tropical Ocean (b) Tropical Land recip Rate [mm/hr] Surface Precip Precip (c) MW – Floating Snow/DC 12 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 0 3 6 9 15 18 21 24 (a) Tropical Ocean (b) Tropical Land **DC** Development ~ 3 hr -150GMI $[\mathbf{x}]$ @ 166VGHz [K] RADAR 166VGHz 100 -100 Passive MW GPM -Near IR+VIS 0 IR only Tcir Ci. LIDAR -50 -50 Decay **DC** Development < 2 hr Eliasson et al. (2011) 12 15 18 21 3 12 15 18 21 0 3 6 9 24 0 9 24 (f) IR – Anvil/Cirrus LST [hr] LST [hr] Satellites 2.5x2.0 Tropical Ocean July 1999 Satellites 2.5x2.0 Tropical Land July 1999 210 210 R 215 215 0.03 E. 220 220 Geostationary 0.00 225 225 ò RADAR £ 230 230 T Passive MW 1 235 E 240 TB11 235 0.09 Near IR+VIS 240 240 0 R only 245 245 LIDAR 250 250 255 255 260 260 Eliasson et al. (2011) 12 12 15 24 6 9 15 0 9 18 21 0 3 18 21 24 3 Local Time (hour Local Time (hour) Anvil/Cirrus Anvil/Cirrus Development ~ 12 hr Development ~ 6 hr

6

ICWG-2, 2018

# Why important to have MW ice cloud diurnal cycle?

#### (a) Tropical Ocean (b) Tropical Land Surface Precip Precip (c) MW – Floating Snow/DC 12 3 9 12 15 18 21 24 0 6 9 15 18 21 24 6 (a) Tropical Ocean (b) Tropical Land **DC** Development ~ 3 hr -150GMI 166VGHz [K] $\Xi$ RADAR 166VGHz 100 -100 Passive MW GPM -Near IR+VIS 0 R only Tcir Ci. LIDAR -50 -50 Decay **DC** Development Regime overlapping with IR ice cloud Eliasson et al. (2011) 15 18 21 24 10 21 LST [hr] (f) IR – Anvil/Cirrus LST [hr] Satellites 2.5x2.0 Tropical Ocean July 1999 Satellites 2.5x2.0 Tropical Land July 1999 210 210 R 215 215 0.03 1 220 220 Geostationary 225 225 ò RADAR £ 230 230 T Passive MW 1 235 E 240 1日1 235 0.09 Near IR+VIS 240 240 IR only 245 245 LIDAR 250 250 255 255 260 260 Eliasson et al. (2011) 12 12 9 0 9 15 18 21 24 0 3 6 15 18 21 24 3 Local Time (hour Local Time (hour) Anvil/Cirrus Anvil/Cirrus Development ~ 12 hr Development ~ 6 hr

7

ICWG-2, 2018

### Pros and Cons for MW sensor to study diurnal cycle?

### Pros

- > Day/Night
- > Long-record (30+ years)
- High-frequency (>150 GHz) dominated by near-linear TB-IWP relationship

### Cons

- > Not sensitive to thin ice cloud
- > No geostationary platform
- TB-IWP relationship hard to be simulated accurately due to complexity of ice microphysics



Procession Orbit – Suitable for diurnal study



# Global Precipitation Measurement Microwave Imager (GPM-GMI)

Channel	Center	Ctr. freq.	Bandwidth	Polarizatio
No	frequency	stabilizatio	(MHz)	n
	(GHz)	n (±MHz)		
1	10.65	10	100	V
2	10.65	10	100	Н
3	18.70	20	200	V
4	18.70	20	200	Н
5	23.80	20	400	V
6	36.50	50	1000	V
7	36.50	50	1000	Н
8	89.00	200	6000	V
9	89.00	200	6000	Н
	165.5	200	4000	V
	165.5	200	4000	H
12	183.31±3	200	2000	V
13	183.31±7	200	2000	V

Footprint size: 4.4 km X 7.2 km

High-frequency polarized pairs at 166 and 183 GHz – suitable for this project



4

6 8 10 12

8 10 12

6

4

#### **GMI TB-CloudSat IWP relationship**

- ✤ Tcir = TB Tccr will be calculated, which will largely remove the clear-sky variability
- This empirical model was built from 3-yr of tropical GMI-CloudSat collocations, which are SPARCE!
- It takes GPM ~ 45 days to cover through one full diurnal cycle for each point on the Earth: seasonal information is blended in.
- For fast convective process, we need < 2 hr</p> temporal resolution, especially over land.







# Using CloudSat-Calipso as the baseline to construct/validate consistency from passive cloud data record





#### One month of TB PDFs at near GMI view-angle



183 GHz differentiation in thick-cloudy-sky might be useful for cloud profiling ICWG-2, 2018

# PART2: Diurnal Cycle of Ice Microphysics



#### In the read world ...



In model and satellite retrieval world ...

• Ice crystal microphysical properties include **shape, size, density, and orientation**.

- Horizontally aligned ice crystals are observed by CALIPSO lidar and passive sensor at visible spectrum (e.g., POLDER, DSCOVR-EPIC), What about other wavelengths?
- If polarization difference is identified in MW spectrum, does it see the same property as what lidar/passive VIS see?
- What about NIR/FIR?

#### Previous Studies Shed Some Light on Using Passive High-frequency Microwave Channels

High frequency Microwave (MW) channels (> 85 GHz, or wavelength < 3.3 mm) are particularly suitable for observing ice cloud and frozen hydrometers, as the signals are dominated by ice scattering and not "polluted" by surface emissivity or liquid cloud/rain absorptions.

#### The higher the MW channel frequency is, the smaller ice crystal it is sensitive to.

Polarimetric MW measurements have been proven to provide a useful view of revealing ice particle's shape and orientation (Czekala 1998; Xie and miao 2011; Prigent et al., 2005; Davis et al., 2007; Homeyer and Kumjian, 2015).



# **Polarimetric Difference (PD)**

> Polarimetric Difference (PD) is defined as radiance difference between the vertically and horizontally polarized channel measurements at the same frequency:



## $PD = TB_v - TB_h$

- Passing through the same ice cloud/frozen hydrometer layer with frozen particles orient to some degree the same direction, V-pol and Hpol naturally experience different optical thickness.
- $\tau_V < \tau_H$  in this case when the ice plate orients parallel to the H-pol wave, meaning that  $TB_v > TB_H$ , or PD > 0.

A squal line case

1.4 -0.3

-2.0

### ICWG-2, 2018

[K] 289.3 [K] **1**284.6 89 GHz 166 GHz 💑 30 266.6 265.2 245.9 244.0 20 226.6 221.4 ≥0 |¥] |+ |> 10 207.3 198.8 188.0 176.1 153.5 168.7 130.9 149.4 108.3 130.1 85.6 110.7 100 150 91.4 63.0 (c) 89 GHz (V-H) (d) 166 GHz (V-H) [K] [K] 33.3 13.1 29.9 11.4 26.4 9.8 23.0 8.1 19.6 6.4 4.7 16.2 12.8 3.0

9.4

6.0

2.6

-0.8

TBv

PD

Colorbar: 183+/-3 GHz TB (directly correlate to ice water path)

(a) 89 GHz (V-H vs V) (b)166 GHz (V-H vs V) [K] [K] 268.3 268.3 251.5 251.5 234.6 234.6 217.8 217.8 201.0 н К 201.0 184.2 184.2 167.4 167.4 150.5 150.5 133.7 133.7 116.9 116.9 100.1 100.1 200 250 300 200 250 300 100 150 89GHz (V) 166GHz (V)

 > TB-PD relationship forms the upside down "bell" curve, meaning PD diminishes at both warm (clear-sky) and cold (deep convection) ends, and maximizes for medium cold TB.

[K]

319.4

296.0

272.6

249.3

225.9

202.5

179.1

155.8

132.4

109.0

300



# This upside down "bell" curve holds for different high-frequency MW measurements



GMI squall line case, 04/29/2014

> The peak PD value and the TB value where PD peaks are largely independent of the channel frequency 640 GHz, ER-2 CoSSIR (Compact Scanning Submillimeter-wave Imaging Radiometer) measurements during the TC4 campaign

200

640V (K)

250

640 GHz, CoSSIR

30

20

10

-10

-20

100

150

640V-640H (K)



166 TB sensitive to 4-8 km "floating snow" cloud



166 PD sensitive to percentage of horizontally oriented ice crystals

Courtesy to Joe Munchak



Composite the PD-TB curve for every 2 hours using GMI data between  $25^{\circ}S$  and  $25^{\circ}N$  during 2014-2017.



- The PD-TB curves converge at both the warm and cold sides, and the peak of PD occurs at ~ same TB.
- So the <u>peak</u> of PD becomes the <u>only metrics</u> to measure the curvature of the curve.
- > PD<sub>peak</sub> varies <u>little</u> (~6%) over tropical <u>ocean</u> during a day, but <u>a lot</u> (~ 35%) over tropical <u>land</u>.



Composite the PD-TB curve for every 2 hours using GMI data between  $25^{\circ}S$  and  $25^{\circ}N$  during 2014-2017.



- The PD-TB curves <u>converge</u> at both the warm and cold sides, and the peak of PD occurs at ~ same TB.
- So the <u>peak</u> of PD becomes the <u>only metrics</u> to measure the curvature of the curve.
- > PD<sub>peak</sub> varies <u>little</u> (~6%) over tropical <u>ocean</u> during a day, but <u>a lot</u> (~ 35%) over tropical <u>land</u>.



# **Diurnal Variation of PD**



- The largest PD<sub>peak</sub> over land occurs at local late morning (10:30 am LST) and the minimum occurs at local afternoon (16:30 pm LST).
- Both the peak of cloud IWP and surface precipitation occur at local early evening (17:30-19:30 pm LST).

Black: PD Blue: TB<sub>cld</sub> (reverse axis) Red: DPR-Ku Precipitation Rate Ice crystal microphysics have strong diurnal variation over tropical land. The diurnal cycle of ice microphysics is tied closely with ice cloud and surface precipitation evolvement.



# **Diurnal Variation of PD**



 The lag-correlation suggests that the maximum correlation happens when PD<sub>peak</sub> leads the ice cloud thickening and surface precipitation by ~ 2 hrs in opposite phase.

The diurnal cycle of ice microphysics is also tied closely with precipitation evolvement.

# Using coarser temporal resolution may distort the signal. We need more frequent observations!

 $\Delta t = 2 hr$ 



Using  $\Delta t = 1 hr$  also result in 2 hr lag-time, but the time series become more noisy because of lack of enough samples to make statistics robust.

ICWG-2, 2018

# Conclusions

- > High-frequency (>150 GHz) passive microwave can retrieve "floating snow" cloud IWP.
- High-frequency MW polarimetric measurements are powerful and full of potentials of inferring ice cloud microphysical properties.
- PD (TB<sub>v</sub>-TB<sub>H</sub>) is a function of <u>ice crystal shape, size and orientation</u>. How to disentangle each contribution requires further fully-polarized 3D radiative transfer model simulations with proper representation of ice scattering properties and ambient air dynamic and thermodynamic conditions.
- Procession orbit design of GPM core satellite endows us an unprecedented opportunity to study the diurnal behavior of ice cloud and its microphysics. We found that it takes less than 2 hrs over tropical land for deep convective cloud to shoot to the upper-troposphere, while thin cloud generated from the outflow takes much slower timescale to develop and dissipate. Ice microphysics vary greatly (up to 35%) over tropical land during a day.
- The diurnal evolution of PD leads that of ice cloud and precipitation macrophysical properties (mean thickness, precipitation rate), which reveals that <u>understanding the</u> <u>variations in ice microphysics is necessary to predict, infer and model the bulk</u> <u>properties of the ice clouds and their overall evolution linked to precipitation and</u> <u>radiative processes.</u>





## For details, please read:

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2741–2757, 2017 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/17/2741/2017/ doi:10.5194/acp-17-2741-2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





#### Microphysical properties of frozen particles inferred from Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) Microwave Imager (GMI)

#### polarimetric measurements

Jie Gong<sup>1,2</sup> and Dong L. Wu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universities Space Research Association, Columbia <sup>2</sup>Climate and Radiation Laboratory, NASA Goddard

Correspondence to: Jie Gong (jie.gong@nasa.gov)

# **Geophysical Research Letters**

AN AGU JOURNAL

Research Letter

#### Diurnal Variation of Tropical Ice Cloud Microphysics: Evidence from Global Precipitation Measurement Microwave Imager Polarimetric Measurements

Jie Gong 🔀, Xiping Zeng, Dong L. Wu, Xiaowen Li

First published: 20 December 2017 | https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075519





# A fully polarized RTM simulation

> PD signal is a function of ice shape, size, and orientation.



#### Radiative transfer cannot explain the entire features. In the real world, dynamics also play a critical role.

- 100% horizontal orientation everywhere is <u>NOT</u> a good assumption inside convective core.
- Turbulence, super-cooled water layer above ice, or irregular-shaped large particles like graupel brought up by vigorous vertical velocities may all play critical roles on explaining the diminish of PD signal when cloud becomes optically thick.

RT4 of PolTranRad is a fully polarized RTM (Evans and Stephens, 1995, JAS). It assumes 100% horizontal alignment of ice crystals, and computes the [I, Q, U, V] Stoke's parameters layer by layer.

> For this simulation, RT4 is modified to GMI's viewing geometry. Yang et al. (2013, JAS)'s shape parameters were employed (only designed for 0.2-100 um cloud ice crystals but our simulations here run from 100 – 400 um for effective radius). Surface is ideal (Frensnel or Lambertian).

## Explanation of the Leading of Ice Microphysical Change to Ice Cloud Coverage/Mass Change

 $\eta_z = \frac{F^+ + F^-}{2\sigma T^4} \qquad \begin{array}{l} * \ F^+ \ and \ F^- \ represent \ the \ upward \ and \ downward \ fluxes \ of \ infrared \ radiation, \\ respectively; \ T \ is \ atmospheric \ temperature; \ \sigma \ the \ Stefan-Boltzman \ constant. \\ * \ \eta_z < 1 \ near \ cloud \ top. \end{array}$ 



Ice crystals with different orientations/sizes/shapes

- receive different upward and downward radiative fluxes
- Possess different temperatures, and subsequently
- Have different saturation water vapor pressure around them.



### Explanation of the Leading of Ice Microphysical Change to Ice Cloud Coverage/Mass Change



#### After 3 hrs,

- vertically oriented plate barely changes size
- horizontally oriented plates become precipitation, left with less cloud coverage
- Sphere crystals start to dissipate.



# Interpretation by a simple 2-layer conceptual model

- Assuming all frozen hydrometers are horizontally oriented, naturally V-pol and H-pol pass through the same cloud with different optical depths (τ<sub>v</sub> and τ<sub>h</sub>)
- > If background is unpolarized,  $T_{1v} = T_{1h}$ , and  $PD = (T_1 - T_J)(e^{-\tau_{2v}} - e^{-\tau_{2h}})$
- > For cloud with large optical depth,  $PD \rightarrow 0$
- > For thin cloud,

 $PD = (T_1 - T_J)(\tau_{2\nu} - \tau_{2h})$ 

> Define Aspect Ratio ( $AR \equiv \frac{\tau_{2\nu}}{\tau_{2h}}$ ),  $PD = (T_1 - T_J)(AR - 1) \tau_{2h}$ 



# Interpretation by a Radiative Transfer Model (RTM) using the conceptual model



- > AR=1.3 overall fits the best with the obs. from 89, 166 and 640 GHz.
- In reality, since these three channels are sensitive to different particle sizes (89 GHz sensitive to frozen hydrometers while 640 GHz only sensitive to small cloud ice crystals), AR is not expected to remain the same for different frequencies.
- > There is an indication that AR increases with increase of channel frequency.  $\implies$  Small crystals are more horizontally

Small crystals are more horizontally oriented? Or small crystals are more flat?

## **Explanation: Diurnal Variation of Aspect Ratio (AR)**





- Varying AR in the simulations can produce different standard deviations. "Best-fit" is defined as where the minimum of standard deviation is achieved.
- > The diurnal variation of "best-fit" AR value beats together with PD<sub>peak</sub>.

34

## **Explanation: Diurnal Variation of Aspect Ratio (AR)**



Larger AR corresponds to more percentage of horizontally oriented ice crystals, or flatter ice crystals, or relate to size change (non-monotonic relationship).