## GOES-R Series Virtual Science Fair (VSF) Middle School Scoring Form

Poster Title:	T	Total Score:
School:	_Mentor/Teacher Name:	Reviewer's Initials:

<u>Directions</u>: Using the four-point rating scale below, assign an overall score of **Excellent, Very Good, Good, and Fair** for each of the five scoring sections. To calculate the final score for each section, multiply the overall score for each section by the weighting factor provided in the table, and record the final section score. The sum of all of the final section scores is the total score for the team and its research.

Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Score
1a. The poster contains all required sections. (Abstract, Hypothesis or Research Question, Methods/Procedures, Results, Discussion/Conclusions and Future Research, References, Related STEM job opportunities.)		Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1
1b. The poster is easy to read (large enough font) but does not contain excess graphics, special fonts, clip art, etc. Many college students and scientists create posters that are too dense to read. Only the abstract should contain full sentences – use bullets or short phrases for the rest of the poster (except references) and visuals to make your point.		Weighting Factor: 2 Section One Score
1c. The poster tells a "story" about the research that is logical to follow and understand.		Score x 2 =
1d. The Results a/o Conclusion sections include two or more GOES-16 a/o GOES-17 ABI bands. (If this dimension of the poster is missing, the rating for Section One can be no higher than a Fair (1).		

4 The poster exhibits outstanding adherence to required format with no errors or limitations. **Excellent** Very Good

3 The poster exhibits very good adherence to required format with no, or minimal errors or limitations.

2 The poster exhibits <u>limited</u> adherence to required format with one or two significant errors or limitations. Good Fair

1 The poster exhibits little or no adherence to required format and significant errors or limitations.

	Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Scor	re	
	Section Two: Title, Summary, Hypothesis/Research Question				
2a.	Title is concise (less than 15 words) and summarizes the research topic.		Excellent 4 Very Good 3		
2b.	Summary is concise (1 page minimum, 2 pages max) and is a synopsis of the research project (context of research/why students chose topic, objectives set, brief methods description, overall results, conclusions).		Good 2 Fair 1	}	
2c.	hypothesis, it contains an "if, then, because" statement.		Weighting Factor: 4		
If research question, the question is answerable through the scientific research stated in the abstract and			Section Two Score		
	of the subject matter. Both the hypothesis and research question require a thoughtful research plan.		Score x 4 =		

Excellent Very Good Good Fair 4 The poster sections display <u>outstanding</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.

3 The poster sections display strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.

2 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *or* there are significant errors or weaknesses.

1 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *and* there are significant errors or weaknesses.

GOES-R Series VSF Scoring Form Page 2

	Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's S	core
	Section Three: Methods/Procedures, Results			
	There is an explanation of how the data (including the use of 3 or more ABI bands) are linked to hypothesis/research question.  If applicable, mathematical calculations used to analyze the data are included.		Excellent Very Good Good Fair	4 3 2 1
3c.	There are tables, graphs, and/or imagery displaying data.		Weighting Factor:	5
3d.	The data presented are sufficient to answer the research question.		Section Three Score Score x 5 = _	

Excellent Very Good Good Fair

- 4 The poster sections display <u>outstanding</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 3 The poster sections display strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 2 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *or* there are significant errors or weaknesses.
- 1 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *and* there are significant errors or weaknesses.

	Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Se	core	
	Section Four: Discussion/Conclusions and Future Research				
4a.	Discussion is concise, yet covers interpretation of results, possible sources of error, comparison with similar studies, and whether the results proved/disproved the hypothesis or answered the research question.		Excellent Very Good Good Fair	4 3 2 1	
4b.	Conclusions are insightful and explain how the conclusions were reached.		Weighting Factor:	6	
4c.	Both discussion and conclusion(s) put findings in context, highlighting why they are important and relevant.		Section Four Score		
4d.	Reviewers can discern a "Claim, Evidence, Reasoning" logic, even if not explicitly stated as such.		Score x 6 =		
4e.	There are suggestions for follow-on research; protocol modifications.				
Not	te: If research team worked with a mentor, it can be mentioned in this section. The impact of working with a project mentor should be included in the video.				

Excellent Very Good Good Fair

- 4 The poster sections display <u>outstanding</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 3 The poster sections display strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 2 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *or* there are significant errors or weaknesses.
- 1 The poster sections display limited team knowledge, skills, or performance and there are significant errors or weaknesses.

	S	coring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Score	
	Section Five: Video/ Oral Presentation				
5a.		s are introduced with clearly defined ties and contributions.		Excellent 4 Very Good 3	
5b.	Every team membduring the video.	per gets the opportunity to present		Good 2 Fair 1	
5c. The video enhances the poster experience (orally describes the poster) and also discusses additional poster items such as experiences with mentors, related STEM job opportunities, GOES-R series satellite understandings, etc.		ster) and also discusses additional h as experiences with mentors, bb opportunities, GOES-R series		Weighting Factor: 8  Section Five Score	
5d. Platform used for the video is appropriate for the oral discussion. Note, it is not required for students to physically appear on the video (e.g. narrated Power Point).		it is not required for students to		Sc_ x 8 =	
5e.	Video has good a the final presentat	nudio and indicates rehearsal prior to tion.			
5f.	Video length is 6				
	Excellent Very Good Very Good Good The VIDEO displays outstanding team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations. The VIDEO displays strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations. The VIDEO displays limited team knowledge, skills, or performance or there are significant errors or weaknesses. The VIDEO displays limited team knowledge, skills, or performance and there are significant errors or weaknesses.				
OVI	ERALL SCOR	E			
	on One Score				
	on Two Score		MOTAL GOOD (GUILOT LLL TWIT SECTION GOODES)		
	on Three Score on Four Score		TOTAL SCORE (SUM OF ALL FIVE SECTION SCORES) =	= x possible score is 100	
	on Five Score		Wax	hossinic scare is that	

Optional overall comments (If total score is less than a 70, reviewers should include a comment in this section.)

GOES-R Series VSF Scoring Form