## GOES-R Series Virtual Science Fair (VSF) Grades 13/14 Scoring Form

Poster Title:	To	otal Score:
School:	Mentor/Teacher Name:	Reviewer's Initials:

<u>Directions</u>: Using the four-point rating scale below, assign an overall score of **Excellent, Very Good, Good, and Fair** for each of the five scoring sections. To calculate the final score for each section, multiply the overall score for each section by the weighting factor provided in the table, and record the final section score. The sum of all of the final section scores is the total score for the team and its research.

Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Score
Section One: Poster Template		
1a. The poster contains all required sections. (Abstract, Hypothesis or Research Question, Methods/Procedures, Results, Discussion/Conclusions and Future Research, References, Related STEM job opportunities.)		Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1
1b. The poster is easy to read (large enough font) but does not contain excess graphics, special fonts, clip art, etc. Many college students and scientists create posters that are too dense to read. Only the abstract should contain full sentences – use bullets or short phrases for the rest of the poster (except references) and visuals to make your point.		Weighting Factor: 2 Section One Score
1c. The poster tells a "story" about the research that is logical to follow and understand.		Score x 2 =
1d. The Results a/o Conclusion sections include three or more GOES-16 a/o GOES-17 ABI bands. (If this dimension of the poster is missing, the rating for Section One can be no higher than a Fair (1).		

4 The poster exhibits outstanding adherence to required format with no errors or limitations. **Excellent** 

3 The poster exhibits very good adherence to required format with no, or minimal errors or limitations. Very Good Good

2 The poster exhibits <u>limited</u> adherence to required format with one or two significant errors or limitations.

1 The poster exhibits little or no adherence to required format and significant errors or limitations. Fair

	Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Scor	re
	Section Two: Title, Summary, Hypothesis/Research Question			
2a.	Title is concise (less than 15 words) and summarizes the research topic.		Excellent 4 Very Good 3	
2b.	Summary is concise (1 page minimum, 2 pages max) and is a synopsis of the research project (context of research/why students chose topic, objectives set, brief methods description, overall results, conclusions).		Good 2 Fair 1	}
2c.	hypothesis, it contains an "if, then, because" statement.		Weighting Factor: 4	
	If research question, the question is answerable through the scientific research stated in the abstract and answering the question requires a significant knowledge		Section Two Score	
	of the subject matter. Both the hypothesis and research question require a thoughtful research plan.		Score x 4 =	

Excellent Very Good Good Fair 4 The poster sections display <u>outstanding</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.

3 The poster sections display strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.

2 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *or* there are significant errors or weaknesses.

1 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *and* there are significant errors or weaknesses.

GOES-R Series VSF Scoring Form Page 2

Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Score	
Section Three: Methods/Procedures, Results			
<ul> <li>3a. There is an explanation of how the data (including the use of 3 or more ABI bands) are linked to hypothesis/research question.</li> <li>3b. If applicable, mathematical calculations used to analyze the data are included.</li> </ul>		Excellent 4 Very Good 3 Good 2 Fair 1	
3c. There are tables, graphs, and/or imagery displaying data.		Weighting Factor: 5	
3d. The data presented are sufficient to answer the research question.		Section Three Score  Score x 5 =	
EXTRA CREDIT – Use of visualization software to test the hypothesis/answer the research question.		ADD 15 points to all projects that utilize visualization software in their projects.	

Excellent Very Good Good Fair

- 4 The poster sections display <u>outstanding</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 3 The poster sections display strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 2 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *or* there are significant errors or weaknesses.
- 1 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *and* there are significant errors or weaknesses.

	Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's So	core
	Section Four: Discussion/Conclusions and Future Research			
4a.	Discussion is concise, yet covers interpretation of results, possible sources of error, comparison with similar studies, and whether the results proved/disproved the hypothesis or answered the research question.		Excellent Very Good Good Fair	4 3 2 1
4b.	Conclusions are insightful and explain how the conclusions were reached.		Weighting Factor:	6
4c.	Both discussion and conclusion(s) put findings in context, highlighting why they are important and relevant.		Section Four Score	
4d.	Reviewers can discern a "Claim, Evidence, Reasoning" logic, even if not explicitly stated as such.		Score x 6 =	
4e.	There are suggestions for follow-on research; protocol modifications.			
Not	e: If research team worked with a mentor, it can be mentioned in this section. The impact of working with a project mentor should be included in the video.			

Excellent Very Good Good Fair

- 4 The poster sections display <u>outstanding</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 3 The poster sections display strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations.
- 2 The poster sections display <u>limited</u> team knowledge, skills, or performance *or* there are significant errors or weaknesses.
- 1 The poster sections display limited team knowledge, skills, or performance and there are significant errors or weaknesses.

	Scoring Section	Reviewer's Comments	Reviewer's Score	
	Section Five: Video/ Oral Presentation			
5a.	All team members are introduced with clearly defined roles, responsibilities and contributions.		Excellent 4 Very Good 3	
5b.	Every team member gets the opportunity to present during the video.		Good 2 Fair 1	
5c.	The video enhances the poster experience (orally describes the poster) and also discusses additional poster items such as experiences with mentors, related STEM job opportunities, GOES-R series satellite understandings, etc.		Weighting Factor: 8  Section Five Score	
5d.	Platform used for the video is appropriate for the oral discussion. Note, it is not required for students to physically appear on the video (e.g. narrated Power Point).		Sc_ x 8 =	
5e.	Video has good audio and indicates rehearsal prior to the final presentation.			
5f.	Video length is 7-12 minutes.			
	Excellent Very Good The VIDEO displays outstanding team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations. The VIDEO displays strong team knowledge, skills, or performance with no significant errors/limitations. The VIDEO displays limited team knowledge, skills, or performance or there are significant errors or weaknesses. The VIDEO displays limited team knowledge, skills, or performance and there are significant errors or weaknesses.			
OVI	ERALL SCORE			
	on One Score			
	on Two Score	TOTAL GCORE (GLIM OF ALL PINE SECTION GCORES)		
Section Three Score  Section Four Score		TOTAL SCORE (SUM OF ALL FIVE SECTION SCORES) =		
	on Five Score	Max	possible score is 100	

Optional overall comments (If total score is less than a 70, reviewers should include a comment in this section.)

GOES-R Series VSF Scoring Form